MINUTES OF THE MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS TRANSPORTATION REVIEW COMMITTEE

May 23, 2000

Maricopa Association of Governments Office 302 North First Avenue, Suite 200, Saguaro Room, Phoenix, Arizona

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Gary Brown, Tempe, Chairman

Wickenburg: Fred Carpenter, Vice-Chair ADOT: Steve Jimenez for Dan Lance

Avondale: Debbie Kohn for William Bates

Buckeye: Joe Blanton Chandler: Dan Cook Gilbert: Tami Ryall

*Glendale: Jim Book

Goodyear: Andrew Cooper for Jerene

Watson

Litchfield Park: Mike Cartsonis

Maricopa County: Chuck Williams for Tom

Buick

Mesa: Jeff Martin

Paradise Valley: Bob Ciccarelli for Tom

Martinsen

Peoria: David Moody

Phoenix: Don Herp for Tom Callow

RPTA: Ken Driggs Scottsdale: John C. Little Surprise: Ellis Perl

EX-OFFICIO MEMBERS ATTENDING

*Regional Bicycle Task Force: Eric Iwersen, Tempe

*Street Committee: Grant Anderson, Glendale

*ITS Committee: Steve Hogan

*Pedestrian Advisory Group: Reed Kempton, Maricopa County Dept of Transportation Telecommunications Advisory Group:

Debbie Kohn, Avondale

OTHERS PRESENT

Shannon Diamond, MAG Stuart Boggs, MAG Shawn Dralle, ADOT Kwi-sung Kang, ADOT Bob Antila, RPTA Peggy Carpenter, Scottsdale

George Wallace, ADOT

Terry Johnson, MAG Suzanne Quigley, MAG Chris Voigt, MAG Paul Ward, MAG Bill Hayden, ADOT Henry Wall, Kimley-Horn

William C. "Blue" Crowley, Public

1. Call to Order

Gary Brown, Chairman, called the meeting to order at 10:08 a.m.

2. Approval of the February 22, 2000 Meeting Minutes

Ken Driggs moved and David Moody seconded approval of the minutes. The minutes were approved unanimously.

3. Call to the Audience

^{*} Members neither present nor represented by proxy.

Chairman Brown asked if there were any member of the public present who would like to make a comment. There being no response the Chairman tumed to the next item on the agenda, the Transportation Managers report.

4. <u>Transportation Manager's Report</u>

Terry Johnson briefed the Committee on the status of conformity analysis. Mr. Johnson also referred to the addendum to agenda #8 ADOT's revised noise abatement policy. The addendum changed this to an item for information, discussion and possible action. As originally incorporated into the budget it was just for information and discussion.

5. <u>Approval of Consent Agenda</u>

No action taken by the Committee.

6. Availability of Transportation Enhancement Funds

No action taken by the Committee.

7. Report on the MAG Freeway Program

Eric Anderson of MAG told the Committee that actual revenues from the sales tax had exceeded projections. He briefed the Committee on the proposed changes to the tentative Mag Freeway Program. Anderson then introduced Charles Eaton of ADOT who gave a presentation on the specifics of the changes to the tentative program.

Eaton described the changes to the program including adding Grant Anticipation NOTE (GAN) repayment with programmed funds including system share of interest, redefining landscape project limits for better efficiency and rescheduling landscape projects to provide better coordination with roadway construction projects. Eaton told the Committee that the final program would be recommended for approval by the Regional Council in June.

8. ADOT Revised Noise Abatement Policy

Eric Anderson of MAG briefed the Committee on ADOT's revised noise abatement policy. He noted that the previous policy was adopted by ADOT in 1996. Anderson pointed out the significant changes from the 1996 policy. These included a change from peak hour to noisiest hour. This change recognized that night time hours might be noisier than rush hour due to the large number of truck shipments that occur at night. Another change involved a shift from posted speed to anticipated speed for sound modeling since the majority of traffic tends to exceed the posted limits.

Anderson told the Committee that he had not calculated the magnitude of cost impacts that would result from mitigation. He was attempting to come up with a ball park estimate working with ADOT. Jeff Martin noted that the revisions made sense. He asked if Anderson was looking for Committee approval of the revisions? Anderson replied that any action was up to the Committee. Tom Callow asked if the revisions only applied to new construction. Anderson replied that they were not limited to new construction. Dan Lance of ADOT told

the Committee that the revisions applied to all significant change. Referring to the residential land use policy Bryan Patterson of Chandler took issue with using the issuance of a building permit as the point at which a development is "planned, designed and programmed". He felt that the point at which a subdivision or zoning approval has been issued would make more sense. Patterson was especially concerned that the Cities would be stuck with building the walls if a subdivision was approved prior to the date of public knowledge but the building permits were not issued until after the date of public knowledge.

Jeff Martin made a motion that MAG work with ADOT to address the Committees concerns regarding the noise policy and then bring the policy back in June for consideration and action by the Committee. Brian Patterson seconded the motion. Eric Anderson noted that ADOT's project budgets contain a standard noise wall value. Jim Book took issue with the definition which was only concerned with the 1st floor. Dan Lance pointed out that this was a FHWA standard. Mitigating noise impacts to upper floors would require noise walls that were 30 to 25 feet high. Walls of that size would be visually intrusive and would create a bigger adverse impact than the noise they were designed to block. There being no further discussion, Chairman Brown called for the vote which was unanimous in favor of the motion.

9. MAG Regional Transportation Plan

Chairman Brown introduced Stuart Boggs of MAG who briefed the Committee on the proposed Regional Transportation Plan. Referring to two flow charts, Boggs told the Committee that the plan would be developed in two phases. The first phase would identify issues and needs affecting the region, develop a vision for the future, and a policy framework that would guide the selection of transportation projects to carry forward the objectives of the plan. Boggs informed the Committee that he would be making presentations on the plan to the MAG modal and technical committees in June. He would then bring a revised scope back to the TRC for review and comment before making a presentation to the Transportation Subcommittee in July. Brian Patterson asked what the Transportation Subcommittee was. Boggs replied that the Transportation Subcommittee was a subcommittee of the Regional Council.

Terry Johnson reminded the Committee that they had expressed an interest in undergoing a policy discussion in the next couple of months. Jeff Martin observed that the top goal for several years has been to complete the regional freeway plan and associated HOV projects. He applieded

10. FY 2001 Community Outreach Process

Stuart Boggs of MAG briefed the Committee on the proposed public outreach process that will be used in FY 2001. He noted that there would be a greater emphasis on outreach to Title VI and Environmental Justice populations. Among the techniques used would be outreach to major contacts. These contacts would be associated with the various social service agencies that provide services to low income, minority and ADA populations in the region. Boggs detailed the activities that would occur during the early, mid and final phase public involvement process.

11. <u>I-10 Design Concept Study and Environmental Study Status</u>

12. <u>Next Meeting Date</u>

The next meeting will be held on June 27th at 10:00 a.m. in the Saguaro Room, 2nd floor, MAG offices.

The meeting adjourned at 11:47 a.m.